

2025 (G25) Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program Stanislaus County Parks and Recreation Department's Factual Findings

General Evaluation Criteria

- #1d – OHV Opportunity Ratio. Division agrees with score of 2 points. No additional points added.
- #6 – Applicant has been responsive. Add 3 points.

Development: G25-03-20-D01

Project Description – Background

- No change.

Project Description – Project Description

- Applicant must clarify which items were completed in G19 project and which are still outstanding. Applicant shall remove those that were already completed.

Project Description – List of Project Deliverables

- No change.

Project Description – All Others

- No change.

Project Cost Estimate

- Contracts #1 “Electrical Contractor” – Line item request is greater than the total indicated in the contract. Line item reduced to contract total of \$868,789. Grant request reduced by \$15,818, and match request is reduced by \$5,558. Revised total for this line item is now Grant \$642,903 and match \$225,885.
- Indirect – Applicant has now exceeded the Indirect 15% maximum. Deduct \$2,373 from Grant.

Revised Totals:

Grant Request: \$713,648

Match: \$251,575

Total Project Cost: \$965,223

Evaluation Criteria

- #4 – The Project (expansion of camping facilities) does not provide for diversified OHV use. Deduct 5 points.
- #11 – Narrative does not support the selection. Project Description and Project Cost Estimate do not support the partner organizations. Deduct 2 points.
- #13 – Narrative does not support the selections. The selected offsite impacts were not included in the Applicant's Project Description, Deliverables or Background. Additionally, expansion of camping facilities does not address the offsite impacts selected. Deduct 5 points.

Ground Operations: G25-03-20-G01

Project Description – Background

- No change.

Project Description – Project Description

- No change.

Project Description – List of Project Deliverables

- #1 – Applicant must clarify the number of miles of trails and roads within the stated 31 miles are being maintained in this proposed Project.

Project Description – All Others

- Describe the size of the specific Project Area(s) in acres and/or miles – Applicant must clarify the Project Area(s) to ensure consistency with the Project Description and List of Project Deliverables.

Project Cost Estimate

- Contracts #1-3 & 5 – Contingent line items. Applicant must clarify “soft match”, as it is not allowed in the Contracts cost category.
- Materials / Supplies #3 "Maintenance Supplies" – Applicant must define how the line-item cost was determined. In addition, Applicant must move items not associated with maintenance, and their associated costs, to their separate line items (i.e. Trail maps and small equipment) within the category.

Evaluation Criteria

- #3 – Narrative does not support the selection of "Providing varied levels of riding difficulty". Applicant did not provide example(s) of Project activities performed on this Project that support the selection. Deduct 1 point.
- #6 – Narrative does not support the selections of “Protecting special-status species” and “Re-routing trails to divert away from riparian/wetlands areas”.

Applicant did not name a special-status species. In addition, the Project does not include re-routing of trails. Deduct 2 points.

- #7 – Project Description and/or Project Cost Estimate sections do not support selection of "Signs, sign posts or education kiosks..." are made with recycled materials. Applicant states "preference is always given to... recycled content", which implies it may not be used. Deduct 1 point.

Ground Operations: G25-03-20-G02

Project Description – Background

- No change.

Project Description – Project Description

- No change.

Project Description – List of Project Deliverables

- No change.

Project Description – All Others

- No change.

Project Cost Estimate

- Contracts #1-3 – Contingent line items. Applicant must clarify 'soft match', as it is not allowed in the Contracts cost category.

Evaluation Criteria

- #3 – Narrative does not support the selections of "Maintaining trails that provide for multi-use" and "Providing varied levels of riding difficulty." Applicant did not provide examples of Project activities being performed that support the selections. Deduct 6 points.
- #4 – Narrative does not support the selection of "The Applicant held a meeting(s), held either in-person or virtual, with multiple distinct stakeholders...". The stakeholder meeting did not include multiple distinct stakeholders. Deduct 1 point.
- #7 – Background and/or project description does not support the selection of "Barrier materials which include recycled content or materials obtained onsite." Applicant stated "natural barriers are included" but did not provide examples. Deduct 1 point.
- #8 – Background and/or Project Description does not support the selections of "Camping", "Birding" and "Other". Applicant does not clearly state how the Project improves and/or maintains facilities that provide motorized access to non-

motorized recreation opportunities within the Project Area where trail maintenance is being performed. Deduct 6 points.

Restoration: G25-03-20-R01

Project Description – Background

- No change.

Project Description – Project Description

- No change.

Project Description – List of Project Deliverables

- No change.

Project Description – All Others

- No change.

Project Cost Estimate

- Staff #2 "Seasonal or Full Time Park Aides" – Contingent Line item. If awarded, Applicant must state how many staff will be performing these Project activities.
- Equipment Use Expenses #1 "Crawler Loader" – Contingent Line item. If awarded, Applicant must clarify how the Equipment will be used on the Project.
- Equipment Use Expenses #2 "Water Truck" – Contingent Line item. If awarded, Applicant must clarify how the Equipment will be used on the Project as "equipment used at OHV Park for trail maintenance work" appears to be related to a Ground Operations activity.
- Equipment Use Expenses #3 "Vehicle Mileage Expense" – Applicant is reminded that Equipment fuel expenses are reimbursed based on actual cost, not per-mile charge. If awarded, Applicant must describe in the notes section how fuel costs were determined (a per-mile charge provided for the methodology would be acceptable) and change the unit of measurement to "Each" or "Miscellaneous."

Evaluation Criteria

- #2 – The narrative does not support the selections of "Bodies of Water" and "Soils- Potential for mass wasting." Applicant did not explain the type and severity of impacts that might occur if the Project is not funded for the selections. Deduct 5 points.
- #4 – The Project Description section and the narrative do not support the selections of "Best Management Practices" and "Identification of alternate OHV routes...". Applicant did not provide adequate details in the Project Description or the narrative to support the selections. Deduct 4 points.

- #7 – The narrative does not support the selection of "The Applicant held a meeting(s), held either in-person or virtual, with multiple distinct stakeholders...". Applicant did not list how the public was notified. Deduct 1 point.
- #10 – The narrative does not support the selection. The "underlying problem that resulted in the need for the Restoration Project" has not been resolved, as illegal incursions are still occurring. Deduct 3 points.
- #11 – The Project Description section does not support the selection of "Greater than 10 acres..." of sensitive habitats which will be actively restored within the Project Area. Applicant did not provide enough details to support active Restoration (i.e., chunking/pitting/mulching) occurring on greater than 10 acres of sensitive habitats. Deduct 5 points.